

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/697,419	10/26/2000	Alan McNutt	99 P 7938 US 01	5374
7590 10/18/2004			EXAMINER	
Elsa Keller SIEMENS CORPORATION Intellectual Property Dept. 186 Wood Avenue South			VU, TUAN A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2124	
Iselin, NJ 088	330		DATE MAILED: 10/18/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	- Ju				
Advisory Action	09/697,419	MCNUTT, ALAN	V				
* Marioury Modell	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Tuan A Vu	2124					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 13 August 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appetexamination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this appliced in the same of this application of the same	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	ply to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adv event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extensions.	isory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE te on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S 36(a) and the appropriate	See MPEP				
37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mo earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	statutory period for reply originally set in on the safter the mailing date of the final rejections.	the final Office action; or ection, even if timely filed,	(2) as set forth in				
 A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. 							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		•				
(a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	tion(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because:	r reconsideration has been cons	sidered but does NC	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaused by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	re newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 4-11.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. ☐ The drawing correction filed on is a) ☐ app	roved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
10. ☐ Other:							

Continuation of 2. NOTE: In terms of minor informalities, the claims as amended is still having punctuation type of deficiencies. But more importantly, the alleged subject matter considered allowable by Applicants necessitates the following observations. First, the fact of combining user's instructions and kernel functions into a binary being stored on a target machine is not an allowable feature in light of well-known teachings and the prior art of record would have made this obvious. Second, the kernel-related teachings that Applicants alleged as not necessarily present in the references require further consideration, notably when the prior art does not explicitly disclose such teachings nor does the claim put forward sufficient details as to distinguish this kernel support code over what has been used in the prior art (Agrawal) citations. Third, the combined effect of having limitations as to combining user's instructions and kernel support code in the targer single chip memory and form informalities in the claim, e.g. claim 7 lack of ",", do not amount to this amendment being in appropriate shape for 1) allowance, because the latter feature is at worst just an obvious variance of prior art teachings and also because the inherency issue thus raised requires further consideration and 2) because Examiner deems that the amendements are not in proper status for a possible Appeal Brief, given the limited amount of action Examiner can do for an after Final response. Hence, the amendments will not be entered.

ANIL KHATRI PRIMARY EXAMINER